

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 180577-00630WS 9805 10/616,036 07/08/2003 Akhlaq Moman EXAMINER 31013 7590 12/29/2005 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP PASTERCZYK, JAMES W INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036

1755 DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			# 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	71
Office Action Summary	10/616,036	MOMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. Pasterczyk	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rep d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 I 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	• •	is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	withdrawn from consideration are rejected.	1.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apporting documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

1. This Office action is in response to the RCE filed 12/12/05 incorporating the After Final amendment filed 10/11/05 and refers to the Office action mailed 9/1/05. Claims 27, 28 and 30 remain withdrawn as nonelected in an earlier Restriction Requirement.

- 2. The objection to the Oath has been overcome due to the filing of a substitute Oath executed by all inventors in the present application.
- 3. Claims 1, 14, 18, 24, 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, in iii), insert --a-- before "titanate", and in the penultimate line make n be less than or equal to 4 as well as greater than zero in order to be consistent with claim 10 which allows tetraalkoxytitanates; no new matter would be seen.

In claim 14, penultimate line, insert a comma after "halogen atom".

In claim 18, insert a comma after "polyvinylalcohol" in the third line.

In claim 24, the formula given for the alumoxane is not very accurate since it allows only monomers while alumoxanes are also often found as liner polymers, cyclic polymers, and even polymeric cage structures. The current formula precludes all these other structures. No new matter would be seen given that it is well-known in the art that metallocenes have these multiple structural forms.

In claim 26, 1. 2, insert --of-- after "in terms".

Although claim 30 is currently withdrawn, applicants may wish to insert a hyphen between "methyl" and "1" in the last compound.

Art Unit: 1755

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14-19 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shipley in view of Mink and Hamed as cited in and for the reasons of record given in paragraph 8 of the previous full Office action.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine is found in Mink at col. 1, 1, 45.

Applicants further argue that the catalyst art is notoriously unpredictable. However, while this may be true, it is also in itself a motivation to experiment since only empirical experimentation and observation would be able to confirm or refute the ability of the catalyst suggested by Mink and Hamed in combination with that of Shipley to produce a polyolefin having a broad and/or bimodal molecular weight distribution.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Pasterczyk whose telephone number is 571-272-1375. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5:30.

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Pasterczyk

AU 1755

12/26/05